

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/046,657	01/16/2002	William Dawson	U 013830-8	1050
7590 07/07/2004			EXAMINER	
Ladas & Parry			LEVY, NEIL S	
26 West 61 Street New York, NY 10023			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	

DATE MAILED: 07/07/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED APPLICANT EXAMINER PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
ADVISORY ACTION
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
a) as extended to run or continues to run 3 nonlines to run the date of the final rejection
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFB 1.192(a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
 a. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims allowed:
Claims objected to:
_
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): //2 kajections
4. The affigurit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection becauseff an licontal from the state of the stat
10 of in taxicity scraening, and is represented by ant of pecolo Appliant
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented for plaction based on the executing procedure into
A promotion of
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other has wasive as any criteria can be selected However The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Can be selected However The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Can be selected However The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Can be selected However The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner.
the relation of the procedure of chum 38 + 39 is Not seen as the relation of the procedure of chum 38 + 39 is Not seen as the relation of the protocol for replembindal cellulatic MARSTERN PRIMARY EXAMINER
an obvious protocolfor replentigidal celulatic MERTIEN
PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89) PRIMARY EXAMINER